



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

## Edinburgh Research Explorer

### Biomedical research misconduct

**Citation for published version:**

Bews, S, Boyd, KM, Campbell, D, Forbes, G, Foster, R, Griffiths, JR, Hungin, APS, McVie, JG, Murray, G, Rees, L, Stonier, P, Tomlinson, S & Webb, DJ 2000, Biomedical research misconduct. in *Joint Consensus Conference on Misconduct in Biomedical Research*. Scottish Medical Journal.

**Link:**

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

**Document Version:**

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

**Published In:**

Joint Consensus Conference on Misconduct in Biomedical Research

**General rights**

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

**Take down policy**

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact [openaccess@ed.ac.uk](mailto:openaccess@ed.ac.uk) providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.





JOINT CONSENSUS CONFERENCE ON MISCONDUCT IN BIOMEDICAL RESEARCH  
— 28th AND 29th OCTOBER 1999 —

PATIENTS benefit not only from good quality care but also from good scientific research. We all expect high standards of scientific and medical research practice. The integrity, probity, skill and trustworthiness of scientific and medical researchers are essential if public confidence is to be assured. In the design and execution of biomedical and healthcare research, public participation is essential. The Joint Consensus Conference on Misconduct in Biomedical Research was convened in order to debate, address and offer guidance on key questions because “every single case [of fraud and misconduct] reduces public confidence, abuses the use of public and charitable funds, and causes insult and frustration to the vast majority of careful, honest workers”.<sup>1</sup>

**The definition of research misconduct**

*“Behaviour by a researcher, intentional or not, that falls short of good ethical and scientific standards.”*

No definition can or should attempt to be exhaustive. It should allow for change. The definition should not be read as being restricted to fabrication, falsification of data and plagiarism. It is intended to cover the whole range of research misconduct.

**How do we promote good research?**

- By affirming a culture through example in which honesty and integrity are expected of every individual and misconduct is not tolerated.
- Through education, training and vigilance from the outset, starting with undergraduate entry and continuing through lifelong learning.
- By ensuring formal training of all supervisors of research.
- By establishing effective and efficient mechanisms for monitoring, auditing and ethics review, appropriate to the design of the study.
- By provision of expert advice, guidance and training for ethics committees.
- By respecting consent and confidentiality.
- By having a framework for and promulgating written guidance on good research practice including publication policy and dissemination of results.

- By designing procedures to ensure that funds are only allocated within a framework for good research practice and when local systems for managing allegations of research misconduct are shown to be established and effective.
- By investigating all allegations of research misconduct firmly, fairly and expeditiously.
- By developing effective and impartial local systems for employers (the universities, NHS, industry and research institutes) to manage allegations of research misconduct, including reference to disciplinary procedures or referral for criminal investigation.
- By providing access to appropriate support for whistleblowers and researchers.

**What should happen next?**

- A national panel should be established — with public representation — to provide advice and assistance on request.

The panel might:

- Develop and promote models of good practice for local implementation
  - Provide assistance with the investigation of alleged research misconduct.
  - Collect, collate and publish information on incidents of research misconduct
- We expect that this paper will be given the fullest possible dissemination by the sponsoring bodies and that the three Royal Colleges of Physicians and the Faculty of Pharmaceutical Medicine will convene at the earliest opportunity a meeting with the General Medical Council and appropriate partners to establish and consider the remit of the national panel.

**(c) Royal College of Physicians of Edinburgh**

1 Chantler and Chantler, *BMJ* 1998; 316:1726.

**Membership of Consensus Panel**

**(Panel Chairman)**

Lord Kilpatrick of Kincaid CBE FRCP Edin  
12 Wester Coates Gardens  
Edinburgh EH12 5LT

Mr John R Griffiths  
Simpson and Marwick WS  
18 Heriot Row  
Edinburgh EH3 6HS

**(Vice Panel Chairman)**

Professor J G McVie  
Director General  
Cancer Research Campaign  
10 Cambridge Terrace  
Regent's Park  
London NW1 4JL

Professor Peter Stonier  
President  
Faculty of Pharmaceutical Medicine  
1 St Andrew's Place  
Regent's park  
London NW1 4LB

Professor David J Webb  
Clinical Pharmacology Unit  
and Research Centre  
Western General Hospital  
Crewe Road  
Edinburgh EH42XU

The Very Revd Graham Forbes  
8 Lansdowne Crescent  
Edinburgh EH12

Professor Gordon D Murray  
Professor of Medical Statistics  
Medical Statistics Unit  
University of Edinburgh Medical School  
Teviot Place  
Edinburgh EH8 9AG

Mr Duncan Campbell  
IPTV  
1 Meadowbank  
Edinburgh EH8 8JE

Miss Rosalind Foster  
2 Temple Gardens  
London EC4Y 9AY

Dr Susan Bews  
Medical Director  
Yamanouchi Pharma Ltd  
Yamanouchi House  
Pyrford Road  
West Byfleet  
Surrey KT14 6RA

Professor Stephen Tomlinson  
Professor of Medicine,  
Dept of Medicine  
Manchester Royal Infirmary  
Oxford Road  
Manchester M13 9WL

Professor Lesley Rees  
Department of Chemical Endocrinology  
Department of Medicine  
St Bartholomew's Hospital  
51-53 Bartholomew Close  
West Smithfield  
London EC1A 7BE

Professor A P S Hungin  
Eaglescliffe Health Centre  
Sunningdale Drive  
Eaglescliffe  
Stockton-on-Tees TS 16 9EA

Dr Kenneth M Boyd  
Senior Lecturer in Medical Ethics  
Centre for Medical Education &  
Research Director  
Institute of Medical Ethics  
21 Chalmers Street  
Edinburgh EH39EW